

**S14 Table.** Characteristics of included previous studies of serum triglycerides and colorectal cancer risk

First author, publication year [reference], country, study type	Cases/total subjects	Age (mean or age criteria) (yr)	Follow-up duration (yr)	Exposure categories	RR/HR (95% CI)	Adjusted confounders
Tulinius et al [1] <sup>a)</sup> , 1997, Iceland, CS	338/22,946	50.4	27	Men: Triglycerides T3 vs. T1 (CRC) Women: Triglycerides T3 vs. T1 (CRC)	Men: 1.39 (1.13-1.71) Women: 1.35 (1.06-1.72)	Age
Schoen et al [2], 1999, USA, CS	102/5849	≥65	6.4	Triglycerides Q4 vs. Q1 (CRC)	1.4 (0.8-2.5)	Age, sex, and physical activity
Saydah et al [3], 2003, USA, NC-CS	173/519	≥18	12	Triglycerides Q4 vs. Q1 (CRC)	0.69 (0.41-1.16)	Age, sex, race, time since last meal, and date of blood draw
Tsushima et al [4], 2005, USA, CS	504/7619	45-65	131	Men: triglycerides Q4 vs. Q1 (CRC) Triglycerides Q4 vs. Q1 (CC) Triglycerides Q4 vs. Q1 (RC)	1.01 (0.77-1.33) 0.92 (0.67-1.26) 1.28 (0.75-2.18)	Age, elapsed time since last caloric intake, elapsed time since 50-g glucose load, BMI, heart rate, cigarette smoking history, alcohol intake, and 24-hr intake of total calories
Ahmed et al. [5] <sup>b)</sup> , 2006, USA, CS	194/14,109	45-64	11.5	Triglycerides ≥150 vs. <150 mg/dL (CRC)	1.08 (0.8-1.5)	Age, sex, family history of colorectal cancer, physical activity, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use, NSAID use, aspirin use, pack-years of cigarette use, and grams of alcohol per week. Also adjusted for hormone-replacement therapy in women
Inoue et al. [6], 2009, Japan, CS	312/27,724	40-69	10.2	Men: Triglycerides ≥150 vs. <150 mg/dL (CC) Triglycerides ≥150 vs. <150 mg/dL (RC) Women: Triglycerides ≥150 vs. <150 mg/dL (CC) Triglycerides ≥150 vs. <150 mg/dL (RC)	Men: 1.71 (1.11-2.62) 0.54 (0.26-1.11) Women: 1.00 (0.63-1.60) 0.52 (0.24-1.13)	Age, study area, smoking status, weekly ethanol intake, and total serum cholesterol
van Duijnhoven et al. [7], 2011, European, NC-CS	1,238/2,476	59.1	5.5	Triglycerides ≥201.9 vs. <79.7 mg/dL (CRC) Triglycerides ≥201.9 vs. <79.7 mg/dL (CC) Triglycerides ≥201.9 vs. <79.7 mg/dL (RC)	1.19 (0.84-1.69) 1.42 (0.91-2.31) 1.06 (0.60-1.88)	Age, sex, centre, follow-up time, time of blood collection, fasting status, height, weight, smoking habits, physical activity, education, consumption of fruit, vegetables, meat, fish and alcohol, intake of fiber, energy from fat and energy from non-fat
Borena et al. [8], 2011, European, CS	4,984/514,097	44	12.7	Men: Triglycerides Q5 vs. Q1 (CC) Triglycerides Q5 vs. Q1 (RC) Women: Triglycerides Q5 vs. Q1 (CC) Triglycerides Q5 vs. Q1 (RC)	Men: 1.96(1.44-2.67) 1.26 (0.85-1.85) Women: 1.05 (0.75-1.47) 1.33 (0.84-2.13)	Age, BMI, smoking status, and stratified by cohort, fasting status, and birth year

Agnoli et al [9], 2014, Italy, C-CS	286/850	N/A	15	All: Triglycerides 138.84-1,124.07 vs. 32.04-89.44 mg/dL (CRC) Men: Triglycerides 138.84-1,124.07 vs. 32.04-89.44 mg/dL (CRC) Women: Triglycerides 138.84-1,124.07 vs. 32.04-89.44 mg/dL (CRC)	All: 1.32 (0.89-1.95) (CRC) Men: 1.77 (0.88-3.55) (CRC) Women: 1.12 (0.66-1.89) (CRC)	Age, sex, BMI, smoking, total physical activity, alcohol consumption, dietary red meat, dietary fiber, and dietary calcium, and menopause status (for women); stratified by center
Lu et al. [10] <sup>a)</sup> , 2015, Norway, CS	2,044/143,477	50.9	15	Triglycerides $\geq 1.7$ vs. $< 1.7$ mmol/L (CRC)	1.12 (1.02-1.22)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, education, family history of cancer, and BMI
Chandler et al. [11], 2016, USA, CS	198/15,602	$\geq 45$ y	21	Women: Triglycerides Q4 vs. Q1 (CRC)	1.86 (1.17-2.97)	Age, race, treatment random assignment , hormone replacement therapy, cigarette smoking, exercise, alcohol consumption, postmenopausal status, family history of cancer, aspirin use, history of colon polyps, total vegetable and fruit intake, history of mammogram, red meat intake and BMI
Katzke et al. [12], 2017, Germany, C-CS	256/25,546	35-65	18	Triglycerides Q4 vs. Q1 (CRC)	1.17 (0.74-1.84)	Age, sex, baseline height, waist, BMI, lifetime alcohol consumption, red meat intake, fiber intake, smoking status, socioeconomic status, physical activity, diabetes, hypertension, and use of lipid lowering drugs
Li et al. [13] <sup>a)</sup> , 2019, China, CS	394/104,333	51.2	10	Men:Triglycerides $\geq 1.7$ vs. $< 1.7$ mmol/L (CRC)	1.17 (0.74-1.84)	Age, sex, baseline height, waist, BMI, lifetime alcohol consumption, red meat intake, fiber intake, smoking status, socioeconomic status, physical activity, diabetes, hypertension, and use of lipid lowering drugs
Hsu et al. [14], 2022, Taiwan, CS	93/4,763	49.4	16.7	Triglycerides $\geq 150$ mg/dL vs. $< 150$ mg/dL CRC	1.71 (1.009-2.920)	Age, sex, serum cholesterol, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C level, BMI, and WC

BMI, body mass index; CC, colon cancer; C-CS, case-control study; CI, confidence interval; CRC, colorectal cancer; CS, cohort study; HDL, high density lipoprotein; HR, hazards ratio; LDL, low density lipoprotein; NC-CS, nested case-control study; N/A, not available; Q, quartile; RC, rectal cancer; RR, relative risk. <sup>a)</sup>Recalculated RR by proposed by Yang et al [15].

## References

- Tulinius H, Sigfusson N, Sigvaldason H, Bjarnadottir K, Tryggvadottir L. Risk factors for malignant diseases: a cohort study on a population of 22,946 Icelanders. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 1997;6:863-73.

2. Schoen RE, Tangen CM, Kuller LH, Burke GL, Cushman M, Tracy RP, et al. Increased blood glucose and insulin, body size, and incident colorectal cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1999;91:1147-54.
3. Saydah SH, Platz EA, Rifai N, Pollak MN, Brancati FL, Helzlsouer KJ. Association of markers of insulin and glucose control with subsequent colorectal cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2003;12:412-8.
4. Tsushima M, Nomura AM, Lee J, Stemmermann GN. Prospective study of the association of serum triglyceride and glucose with colorectal cancer. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2005;50:499-505.
5. Ahmed RL, Schmitz KH, Anderson KE, Rosamond WD, Folsom AR. The metabolic syndrome and risk of incident colorectal cancer. *Cancer.* 2006;107:28-36.
6. Inoue M, Noda M, Kurahashi N, Iwasaki M, Sasazuki S, Iso H, et al. Impact of metabolic factors on subsequent cancer risk: results from a large-scale population-based cohort study in Japan. *Eur J Cancer Prev.* 2009;18:240-7.
7. van Duijnhoven FJ, Bueno-De-Mesquita HB, Calligaro M, Jenab M, Pischon T, Jansen EH, et al. Blood lipid and lipoprotein concentrations and colorectal cancer risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *Gut.* 2011;60:1094-102.
8. Borena W, Stocks T, Jonsson H, Strohmaier S, Nagel G, Bjorge T, et al. Serum triglycerides and cancer risk in the metabolic syndrome and cancer (Me-Can) collaborative study. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2011;22:291-9.
9. Agnoli C, Grioni S, Sieri S, Sacerdote C, Vineis P, Tumino R, et al. Colorectal cancer risk and dyslipidemia: a case-cohort study nested in an Italian multicentre cohort. *Cancer Epidemiol.* 2014;38:144-51.
10. Lu Y, Ness-Jensen E, Hveem K, Martling A. Metabolic predispositions and increased risk of colorectal adenocarcinoma by anatomical location: a large population-based cohort study in Norway. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2015;182:883-93.
11. Chandler PD, Song Y, Lin J, Zhang S, Sesso HD, Mora S, et al. Lipid biomarkers and long-term risk of cancer in the Women's Health Study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2016;103:1397-407.
12. Katzke VA, Sookthai D, Johnson T, Kuhn T, Kaaks R. Blood lipids and lipoproteins in relation to incidence and mortality risks for CVD and cancer in the prospective EPIC-Heidelberg cohort. *BMC Med.* 2017;15:218.
13. Li X, Chen H, Wang G, Feng X, Lyu Z, Wei L, et al. Metabolic syndrome components and the risk of colorectal cancer: a population-based prospective study in Chinese men. *Front Oncol.* 2019;9:1047.
14. Hsu SH, Syu DK, Chen YC, Liu CK, Sun CA, Chen M. The association between hypertriglyceridemia and colorectal cancer: a long-term community cohort study in Taiwan. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022;19:7804.
15. Yang Z, Tang H, Lu S, Sun X, Rao B. Relationship between serum lipid level and colorectal cancer: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open.* 2022;12:e052373.